

Report of the Interim Director of Children's Services

South Leeds (Outer) Area Committee

Date: Monday 6th September 2010

Subject : Children's Services Performance Report

Electoral Wards Affected:
All

N/A Ward Members consulted (referred to in report)

Specific Implications For:

Equality and Diversity

Community Cohesion

Narrowing the Gap

Council Function Delegated Executive Function available for Call In Delegated Executive Function not available for Call In Details set out in the report

Executive Summary

To provide the Outer South Area Committee with various Children's Services performance data disaggregated at Area Committee or Ward level.

1.0 Purpose Of This Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to provide the Area Committee with performance data relating to Children's Services.
- 1.2 To provide information on the performance data to be provided at the January cycle of meetings.
- 1.3 To provide information on progress and activity that has taken place to improve safeguarding arrangements across the city during 2009-10.

2.0 Background Information

- 2.1 Following the last performance report that was presented in February 2010 an approach was developed and agreed with Locality Enablers which incorporated the feedback from the various Area Committee meetings. It was agreed that performance reports would be presented twice a year – January and September. The report to be taken to the September cycle of meetings would include data covering:

- **Looked After Children (LAC)** - Numbers of LAC - by Ward, 31st March 2010 position
- **Assessments**
 - **NI 68:** percentage of referrals to children's social care going on to initial assessment – by Ward and latest quarterly information available
 - **NI 59:** percentage of initial assessments for children's social care carried out within 7 working days of referral - by Ward and latest quarterly data available
 - **NI 60:** percentage of core assessments for children's social care that were carried out within 35 working days of their commencement - by Ward and latest quarterly data available
- **CAF data**
- **NEET** (end of year figure for NEET as well as the latest monthly figure available)
- **Not Known** (latest monthly figure available).

2.2 The report to be taken to the **January cycle of meetings** will include data covering:

- **attainment (NI 75** Proportion of pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving five or more GCSEs at grades A*-C or equivalent, including English and Maths; and **NI 76** - Reduction in number of schools where fewer than 55% of pupils achieve level 4 or above in both English and Maths at KS2)
- **absence / attendance**
- **exclusions**
- **Ofsted judgements** (Inspection reports published on the Ofsted website – latest data available).

2.3 It is important to note that it is a relatively recent development to be able to provide Ward level performance information in this way. Therefore the data produced for this report will provide a baseline for comparisons for future years.

3.0 Activity To Improve Safeguarding

3.1 A number of activities to improve safeguarding have taken place over the first quarter of the year including:

- Work is ongoing to update existing documentation to more clearly explain thresholds for appropriate levels of support for children and young people across the wide spectrum of need. It is intended to provide this to the Children's Trust Board in September.
- The Practice Standards Manual has been distributed in social care and is in use. The manual sets out the standards of service delivery and details key practice issues to be covered to ensure those standards are routinely met. It provides a quick reference point for practitioners and managers. Training is provided to support implementation.
- Children and Young People's Social Care continue to implement the Practice Improvement Programme. As part of this a programme of audit is being developed that will see more than 1,000 files examined in October and November this year. In addition an ongoing audit process will be developed to ensure continued monitoring and improvement.

- The Interim Head of Safeguarding is working with key colleagues to progress the development of the Integrated Safeguarding Unit, ready for implementation in September.
- The Interim Head of Safeguarding is also overseeing the collection of intelligence on the child protection process. Once the collection of data is complete this will inform resource allocation and improvement activity.
- Children and Young People's Social Care are also continuing to undertake an analysis of why a higher than average number of children and young people are subject to a child protection plan for two or more years in Leeds.

3.2 In addition, Councillor Blake will oversee a review of the Governance arrangements for Looked after Children with members of the Corporate Carers Group, using the Corporate Parenting toolkit and self assessment developed by the National Children's Bureau. This will ensure the structures and systems that are in place to undertake Corporate Parenting responsibilities are in line with best practice and meet the criteria for excellence as outlined in Ofsted reports.

4.0 Numbers Of Looked After Children

4.1 The numbers of looked after children continue to rise as do the numbers of referrals made to Children and Young People's Social Care. There is no evidence to suggest that thresholds for children entering local authority care are too low, and the continued rise seen in Leeds mirrors many other local authorities across the country. Since quarter four, 2009-10 the numbers of looked after children has increased by 19 as indicated in the table below (**disaggregated data for the numbers of looked after children by originating Ward can be found at Appendix 1**).

City-wide Position						
PI Ref.	Title	Frequency & Measure	Base-line	2009/10 Result	2010/11 Target	Q1 2010/11 result
LSP-HW2b(i) a	Number of looked after children (excluding unaccompanied asylum seekers)	Quarterly Numerical	1281 (07/08)	1362	Not Applicable	1381 provisional
LSP-HW2b(i) b	Number of children looked after - expressed as a rate per 10,000, excluding unaccompanied asylum seekers	Quarterly Rate	83.8 per 10,000 (07/08)	89.1 per 10,000	Not Applicable	90.7 provisional (child population is 152,200)

4.2 Work continues to be carried out to identify young people who are able to safely return to their families and to ensure appropriate levels of support are maintained following their rehabilitation. This has now been extended to include 16 and 17-year olds who are spending increasing amounts of time with their families and are able to be supported to return to the full-time care of their families. A HOSDAR (Head of

Service decision and review) Panel has been established and meets weekly. The panel considers any new requests for children and young people to be accommodated, or for care proceedings to be instigated. The Panel has met five times since the end of June 2010 and continues to meet weekly.

4.3 Further analysis is required in order to better understand the characteristics and trends relating to the looked-after population. This will involve looking at the demographics of looked after children and analysing which ethnic groups have a disproportionate number of children going into care.

5.0 Referrals To Children And Young People Social Care And Common Assessment Framework (CAF)

5.1 NI 68, the percentage of referrals to children’s social care going on to initial assessment can act as a proxy measure for several issues. For example higher levels of referrals going onto become initial assessments demonstrates that the wide range of referrers understand the thresholds of children’s social care and are referring appropriately. The national average is 66.5% based on all local authorities for 2008-09 (**disaggregated data for the percentage of referrals to children’s social care going on to initial assessment by Ward can be found at Appendix 2**).

City-wide Position						
Reference	Title	Frequency	Base- & Measure line	2009/10 Result	2010/11 Target	Q1 2010/11 result
NI 68	Percentage of referrals to children's social care going on to initial assessment	Annual %	56.8%	60.7% (provisional result)	70%	62.5% (6,763/10,817 = (rolling 12 month figure)

5.2 It is anticipated that several work streams will coalesce to impact on the number of inappropriate referrals to Children and Young People’s Social Care, allowing the Service to concentrate on those individuals who require their support.

5.3 The Children’s Screening Team, which is based at the Contact Centre has been fully operational since 29 April 2010. The team is tasked with distinguishing between Requests for Service (RFS) and referrals, ensuring the RFS are dealt with by other areas of Children’s Services. The team is now made up of 4 qualified social workers who are able to use their knowledge and experience to determine whether a call is a referral or RFS. This will cause the percentage of referrals going on to initial assessments to rise, but it is not yet clear how much of an impact this will have.

5.4 Changes to Electronic Social Care Recording System (ESCR) have also been implemented to improve the contact centre process, assessment process and the validation of data; this gives better controls, simplifies recording and generates better accuracy.

- 5.5 The Common Assessment Framework (CAF) is starting to be embedded and is a key part of delivering frontline services that are integrated, and are focused around the needs of children and young people. The CAF is a standardised approach to conducting assessments of children's additional needs and deciding how these should be met. It can be used by practitioners across children's services. The CAF promotes more effective, earlier identification of additional needs, particularly in universal services. It aims to provide a simple process for a holistic assessment of children's needs and strengths; taking account of the roles of parents, carers and environmental factors on their development. Practitioners are then better placed to agree with children and families about appropriate modes of support. The CAF also aims to improve integrated working by promoting coordinated service provision.
- 5.6 The end of May saw in excess of 2160 CAFs initiated on the database with approximately two thirds open on the system, and one third closed at any one time. Currently, each month on average 90 CAFs are registered, 10 are closed and 50 multi agency meetings are regularly recorded, though holiday times do see a drop in activity.
- 5.7 An average 40 calls and 40 emails are received daily by the CAF Team with a further 50 emails outgoing relating to CAF activity, although this continues to increase. Processing CAF documentation, following up or amending information, seeking or providing advice or guidance effectively improves month on month. Data demonstrates that a majority of CAFs are initiated on White, British children and young people with other diverse ethnic groups represented in 25%. In 13% of CAFs the child or young person is identified by parents or lead professional as disabled.
- 5.8 58% have been undertaken with Males, 41% with females, and 1% on unborn children. The majority of CAFs on the system are for children in the 0-5 age groups making up 38% of CAFs. 29% are children within the 6-11 age group, 30% for young people 12 - 16 and 3% on young people aged 17+. The majority of CAFs have been registered by Early Years (24%) followed by Primary Schools (19%), Health, including Intensive Family Support Services (13%), Voluntary/third sector (12%), High Schools (13%), Education Leeds (9%), Best teams (3%), Extended Services (2%) and Youth Offending Service and Youth Service (2%).
- 5.9 During June, a total of 17 cases were forwarded to the CAF team from Children and Young People's Social Care, recommending that a common assessment be initiated on a child or young person. Of these, 5 now have a CAF in place. Early Years and health undertake the majority of assessments on 0-5 year-olds, Education Leeds and primary schools on 6-11 year olds and Education Leeds and high schools on young people of 12 and above. Third sector undertake assessments across the age groups. **A more detailed breakdown of the numbers of CAFs raised and completed at Area Committee level can be found at Appendix 5.**

5.10 Furthermore, Integrated Service Leaders, who work in localities across clusters, have been in place since February 2010, (roles realigned from within existing staff resources). Their role is to provide leadership to services and partner agencies across clusters to embed integrated working at a locality level. This includes promoting and embedding the CAF process and Intervention Panels which are in place to help support agencies to improve outcomes for children. In addition, there are 3 Children Leeds Panels which consider cases of children and young people with complex problems who are on the edge of care, and more recently those in care to see whether they can be supported to live with their families. Since the first panels met in January 2010 there have been 82 families referred with a total of 267 children and young people supported.

6.0 Initial Assessments By Children And Young People’s Social Care

6.1 Performance for NI 59 - the percentage of initial assessments for children’s social care carried out within 7 working days of referral - has steadily increased over the last five months, with the month of June being recorded at 84%. Furthermore, the result for quarter one 2010-11 was 80% which is a significant improvement on the same period last year when the result was 68.7% **(disaggregated data for the percentage of initial assessments for children’s social care carried out within 7 working days of referral by Ward can be found at Appendix 3).**

City-wide Position						
PI Title	Frequency & Measure	Rise or Fall	Base -line	2009/10 Result	2010/11 Target	Q1 2010/11 Result
NI 59: Percentage of initial assessments for children’s social care carried out within 7 working days of referral	Quarterly %	Rise	79.9 % (08-09)	61.2% (provisional result)	80%	80.0% (1,294/1,617) (Cumulative figure for Q1)

6.2 Current performance reflects the work that has been undertaken to develop capacity in iPerformer in ESCR (an interactive tool enabling the Service to download reports to assess how the indicator is performing, determine what work is outstanding and be made aware of any validation errors that need resolving). Utilising this information from Head of Service level down, has proved invaluable, as any small dips in performance can be quickly identified & addressed. The Children and Young People’s Social Care Service has also been assessing performance at a team level to identify the issue of poorly performing teams and to share best practice of teams that perform strongly.

6.3 The consistent level of performance reflects the hard work that has been carried out to ensure that the Service met the June 2010 target of 72% as set out in the Improvement Plan. A range of work had been carried out to influence the performance of initial and core assessments including:

- Continued focus on referral audits and reviews of case files and analysis of performance management information is on-going to ensure timeliness is not at the expense of quality.

- Consolidating the staffing arrangements in the Contact Centre and introduced processes to distinguish between Requests for Service and Referrals; changes to systems have been implemented and training was delivered throughout Q1 2010/11; monitoring impact will continue throughout the year.
- Matching the 35 new social work staff starting in the next three months to Assessment and Care Management teams based on profiles of need. These new staff are predominantly newly qualified social workers who are just completing their qualifications.
- Further attempts to recruit more Advanced Practitioners failed to attract suitable candidates; there are currently 13 advanced practitioners against the target of 25.
- Implementing the performance management framework; the on-line management information and the daily tracking of progress with trend analysis is informing social workers, team managers and senior managers that improved safeguarding is achievable and evidenced. It highlights potential delays and pressure points, allowing early intervention and risk reduction.

7.0 Core Assessments By Children And Young People's Social Care

7.1 Performance for the month of June for NI 60 - Percentage of core assessments for children's social care that were carried out within 35 working days of their commencement was 91.1%, which is testament to the work being done across the three service delivery areas. The first quarter's performance is a significant rise on the year-end performance of 68.5%, and is slightly higher than the 79.4% result from the same period a year ago. However, 366/458 core assessments were completed in time this quarter, compared to only 143/180 in the same period last year (a 154% increase in volume), which emphasises the increase in performance in real terms. Although quarter one's result is 'red', performance in June alone was particularly strong, and the Service is confident that this is the start of a period of good performance that will see the cumulative result rise over the next quarter (**disaggregated data for the percentage of core assessments for children's social care carried out within 35 working days of their commencement by Ward can be found at Appendix 4**).

City-wide Position					
Title	Frequency and Measure	Base-line	2009/10 Result	2010/11 Target	Q1 2010/11 result
NI 60: Percentage of core assessments that were carried out within 35 working days of their commencement	Quarterly %	77.4% (08-09)	64.9% (provisional result)	84%	79.9% (366/458)

7.2 The Service is clearing up historical issues that have had a negative impact on the indicator in the past, and this should contribute to continued good performance. As with NI 59, the regular use of iPerformer in ESCR (an interactive tool enabling the Service to download reports to assess how the indicator is performing, determine what work is outstanding and be made aware of any validation errors that need resolving) from Head of Service level down has proved invaluable, allowing any potential issues to be quickly identified and dealt with.

7.3 The consistent level of performance reflects the hard work that has been carried out to ensure that the Service met the June 2010 target of 80% as set out in the Improvement Plan. The range of development work as described in 6.3 has also supported this improvement in the performance of core assessments.

8.0 NEET and Not Knowns

8.1 The annual result for the NI 117 - 16 - 18 year olds who are not in education training or employment (NEET) – indicates that the recent trend of sustained improvement has continued. NEET has declined from 9.6% in 2008-09 to 8.2% in 2009-10, however, the target has been missed by 0.4 percentage points. There is concern that the full impact of the economic recession has not yet been felt and that there will be a ‘lag’ effect resulting in a temporary increase in NEET later this year. Other local authorities are currently experiencing this effect which has resulted in Leeds moving into the top half of the statistical neighbours table for the first time. This provides some evidence that the recent measures put in place in Leeds are having the desired positive effect (**disaggregated data for the number of young people who are NEET and Not Knowns for the month of June 2010 by Ward can be found at Appendix 6**).

City-wide Position						
PI Ref:	Title	Frequency & Measure	Rise or Fall	Baseline	2008/09 Result	2009/10 Result
NI 117	16 - 18 year olds who are not in education training or employment (NEET)	Annually %	Fall	9.1% (An average of Nov, Dec 2006 and Jan 2007)	9.6%*	8.2%

***Although NEET data is collected monthly, this indicator use an annual result which is based on three one month snapshots at the end of November, December and January each year.**

8.2 To build on this improvement, ongoing activities include:

- the Core Team of the Corporate NEET Improvement Board implementing the actions identified in the Improvement Plan and tracking progress
- the NEET action plan being updated to include the Improvement Plan priorities
- the establishment of a time-limited project to solve the IT/database issues
- the mobilisation of the Connexions Wedge Contracts (Targeted Support) and;
- the first elements of the Phase 3 Specialist Support contracts ensure close links are made with Universal and Specialist services, so that there are appropriate referral pathways for young people for support when needed.

- 8.3 Considerable action has been undertaken to reduce the Not Known figure which has dropped to 5.2% in March 2010. This measure also features in the Improvement Plan, whereby the target to be achieved by January 2011 is 6.3%.
- 8.4 Although year on year there has been some improvement, the NEET rate this quarter increased with the figure rising through each month of the quarter. The biggest improvement this quarter is the number of Not Knowns. Over the last year there has been a clear downward trajectory in the number of Not Knowns and the June position saw the lowest number of Not knowns ever at 965 young people (5%) compared to 1983 young people (9.9%) for same period last year. This significant reduction can be attributed to the work done by the Connexions Service.
- 8.5 Significant progress has been made in improving access to the Connexions database which will enable better recording and tracking of data. Improvements in recording are demonstrated by the reduction in Not Known figures. Connexions is working with individual colleges to ensure that systems are put in place for identifying, tracking and prioritising young people who are at risk of becoming NEET.
- 8.6 The Children Leeds Learning Partnership is merging with the 14-19 Strategy Group, Integrated Youth Support Services Board and Learning and Support Partnership from September 2010. This will ensure clear ownership of the NEET Strategy on a permanent basis.
- 8.7 Due to the in year reductions to the Area Based Grant, work is underway to assess the implications across children's services.
- 8.8 As mentioned at paragraph 2.3, it is important to note that it is a relatively recent development to be able to provide Ward level performance information in this way. Therefore the data produced for this report will provide a baseline for comparisons for future years.

9.0 Implications For Council Policy and Governance

- 9.1 The performance data and ongoing activities mentioned in this report will help inform future policy in the redesign of Children's Services. The transformation programme is working on the design of future options to create a fully integrated Children's Service. It is intended there will be more information available in the near future, at which point members will be invited to make comment.

10.0 Legal and Resource Implications

- 10.1 There are no legal and resource implications.

11.0 Conclusions

- 11.1 Not applicable as the report is information based.

12.0 Recommendations

- 12.1 Area Committees are requested to note the contents of this report.

Background Papers

- Area Committee Performance Management reporting for Children's Services
November 2009

Index of Appendices

- Appendix 1 – Number of children in care
- Appendix 2 – Percentage of referrals
- Appendix 3 – Percentage of initial assessments
- Appendix 4 – Core assessments
- Appendix 5 – Common Assessment Framework (CAF)
- Appendix 6 – NEET and Not Known data

Appendix 1

Number Of Children In Care At 31st March 2010 By Originating Ward Address

The information in the table below is based on the 903 return (statutory statistical return submitted to the DfE) and is correct as at 31 March 2010. There were 1,362 children in care (excluding UASC) at 31 March 2010, but ongoing data cleanup meant that not every child had a home postcode recorded when the information was extracted from the ESCR database. Approximately 12 children had no home postcode recorded, and this information was added directly to the DfE's secure website at a later date. This site does not store postcodes for confidentiality reasons, so these 12 are not factored into the data below.

Area Committee	Ward	Nos. of children in care	Percentage
Inner East	Gipton & Harehills	146	11.06
Inner East	Killingbeck & Seacroft	80	6.06
Inner East	Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	131	9.92
Total		357	27.04
Outer East	Cross Gates & Whinmoor	30	2.27
Outer East	Garforth & Swillington	4	0.30
Outer East	Kippax & Methley	20	1.52
Outer East	Temple Newsam	40	3.03
Total		94	7.12
Inner North East	Moortown	9	0.68
Inner North East	Roundhay	15	1.14
Inner North East	Chapel Allerton	70	5.30
Total		94	7.12
Outer North East	Alwoodley	12	0.91
Outer North East	Harewood	5	0.38
Outer North East	Wetherby	5	0.38
Total		22	1.67
Inner North West	Hyde Park & Woodhouse	59	4.47
Inner North West	Kirkstall	36	2.73
Inner North West	Weetwood	12	0.91
Inner North West	Headingley	15	1.14
Total		122	9.25
Outer North West	Adel & Wharfedale	5	0.38
Outer North West	Guiseley & Rawdon	6	0.45
Outer North West	Horsforth	29	2.20
Outer North West	Otley & Yeadon	28	2.12
Total		68	5.15
Inner West	Armley	82	6.21
Inner West	Bramley & Stanningley	79	5.98
Total		161	12.19
Outer West	Calverley & Farsley	10	0.76
Outer West	Farnley & Wortley	34	2.58
Outer West	Pudsey	19	1.44
Total		63	4.78
Inner South	Beeston & Holbeck	72	5.45
Inner South	City & Hunslet	123	9.32
Inner South	Middleton Park	85	6.44
Total		280	21.21
Outer South	Ardsley & Robin Hood	6	0.45
Outer South	Morley North	14	1.06
Outer South	Morley South	20	1.52
Outer South	Rothwell	19	1.44
Total		59	4.47
Grand Total		1320	100

Appendix 2

Q1 – 2010-11				
NI 68: Percentage of referrals to children’s social care going on to initial assessment				
Area Committee	Ward	No. of Referrals	No. of Initial Assessments	% of Referrals going on to Initial Assessment
Inner East	Gipton & Harehills	192	140	72.92%
Inner East	Killingbeck & Seacroft	119	70	58.82%
Inner East	Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	217	111	51.15%
Outer East	Cross Gates & Whinmoor	50	27	54%
Outer East	Garforth & Swillington	37	28	75.68%
Outer East	Kippax & Methley	42	27	64.29%
Outer East	Temple Newsam	72	48	66.67%
Inner North East	Moortown	19	10	52.63%
Inner North East	Roundhay	31	9	29.03%
Inner North East	Chapel Allerton	80	61	76.25%
Outer North East	Alwoodley	43	31	72.09%
Outer North East	Harewood	5	2	40%
Outer North East	Wetherby	23	10	43.48%
Inner North West	Hyde Park & Woodhouse	72	55	76.39%
Inner North West	Kirkstall	87	70	80.46%
Inner North West	Weetwood	30	23	76.67%
Inner North West	Headingley	10	4	40%
Outer North West	Adel & Wharfedale	25	14	56%
Outer North West	Guiselley & Rawdon	37	20	54.05%
Outer North West	Horsforth	28	14	50%
Outer North West	Otley & Yeadon	43	27	62.79%
Inner West	Armley	167	92	55.09%
Inner West	Bramley & Stanningley	160	117	73.13%
Outer West	Calverley & Farsley	31	21	67.74%
Outer West	Farnley & Wortley	80	65	81.25%
Outer West	Pudsey	49	34	69.39%
Inner South	Beeston & Holbeck	136	100	73.53%
Inner South	City & Hunslet	121	91	75.21%
Inner South	Middleton Park	188	121	64.36%
Outer South	Ardsley & Robin Hood	42	26	61.90%
Outer South	Morley North	37	24	64.86%
Outer South	Morley South	52	47	90.38%
Outer South	Rothwell	48	29	60.42%

Appendix 3

Q4 – 2009-10

NI 59: Percentage of initial assessments for children's social care carried out within 7 working days of referral

Area Committee	Ward	Total No. of IA	No. of IA carried out within time-scales	% of IA carried out within time-scales	No. of IA not carried out within time-scales	% of IA not carried out within time-scales
Inner East	Gipton & Harehills	70	45	64.29%	25	35.71%
Inner East	Killingbeck & Seacroft	118	81	68.64%	37	31.36%
Inner East	Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	91	70	76.92%	21	23.08%
Outer East	Cross Gates & Whinmoor	32	16	50%	16	50%
Outer East	Garforth & Swillington	11	6	54.55%	5	45.45%
Outer East	Kippax & Methley	30	10	33.33%	20	66.67%
Outer East	Temple Newsam	27	18	66.67%	9	33.33%
Inner North East	Moortown	19	13	68.42%	6	31.58%
Inner North East	Roundhay	20	12	60%	8	40%
Inner North East	Chapel Allerton	49	39	79.59%	10	20.41%
Outer North East	Alwoodley	15	15	100%	0	0%
Outer North East	Harewood	7	7	100%	0	0%
Outer North East	Wetherby	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Inner North West	Hyde Park & Woodhouse	70	55	78.57%	15	21.43%
Inner North West	Headingley	16	11	68.75%	5	31.25%
Inner North West	Kirkstall	57	39	68.42%	18	31.58%
Inner North West	Weetwood	43	28	65.12%	15	34.88%
Outer North West	Adel & Wharfedale	33	19	57.58%	14	42.42%
Outer North West	Guiseley & Rawdon	38	29	76.32%	9	23.68%
Outer North West	Horsforth	14	5	35.71%	9	64.29%
Outer North West	Otley & Yeadon	47	30	63.83%	17	36.17%
Inner West	Armley	152	98	64.47%	54	35.53%
Inner West	Bramley & Stanningley	134	90	67.16%	44	32.84%
Outer West	Calverley & Farsley	31	20	64.52%	11	35.48%
Outer West	Farnley & Wortley	94	59	62.77%	35	37.23%
Outer West	Pudsey	41	18	43.90%	23	56.10%
Inner South	Beeston & Holbeck	105	52	49.52%	53	50.48%
Inner South	City & Hunslet	95	50	52.63%	45	47.37%
Inner South	Middleton Park	177	82	46.33%	95	53.67%
Outer South	Ardsley & Robin Hood	36	25	69.44%	11	30.56%
Outer South	Morley North	26	13	50%	13	50%
Outer South	Morley South	38	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
Outer South	Rothwell	30	12	40%	18	60%

Appendix 3

Q1 – 2010-11

NI 59: Percentage of initial assessments for children's social care carried out within 7 working days of referral

Area Committee	Ward	Total No. of IA	No. of IA carried out within time-scales	% of IA carried out within time-scales	No. of IA not carried out within time-scales	% of IA not carried out within time-scales
Inner East	Gipton & Harehills	140	92	65.71%	48	34.29%
Inner East	Killingbeck & Seacroft	70	52	74.29%	18	25.71%
Inner East	Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	111	90	81.08%	21	18.92%
Outer East	Cross Gates & Whinmoor	27	20	74.07%	7	25.93%
Outer East	Garforth & Swillington	28	23	82.14%	5	17.86%
Outer East	Kippax & Methley	27	24	88.89%	3	11.11%
Outer East	Temple Newsam	48	34	70.83%	14	29.17%
Inner North East	Moortown	10	6	60%	4	40%
Inner North East	Roundhay	9	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Inner North East	Chapel Allerton	61	36	59.02%	25	40.98%
Outer North East	Alwoodley	31	24	77.42%	7	22.58%
Outer North East	Harewood	2	1	50%	1	50%
Outer North East	Wetherby	10	7	70%	3	30%
Inner North West	Hyde Park & Woodhouse	55	47	85.45%	8	14.55%
Inner North West	Kirkstall	70	60	85.71%	10	14.29%
Inner North West	Weetwood	23	22	95.65%	1	4.35%
Inner North West	Headingley	4	4	100%	0	0%
Outer North West	Adel & Wharfedale	14	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Outer North West	Guiseley & Rawdon	20	19	95%	1	5%
Outer North West	Horsforth	14	14	100%	0	0%
Outer North West	Otley & Yeadon	27	17	62.96%	10	37.04%
Inner West	Armley	92	85	92.39%	7	7.61%
Inner West	Bramley & Stanningley	117	101	86.32%	16	13.68%
Outer West	Calverley & Farsley	21	15	71.43%	6	28.57%
Outer West	Farnley & Wortley	65	55	84.62%	10	15.38%
Outer West	Pudsey	34	29	85.29%	5	14.71%
Inner South	Beeston & Holbeck	100	77	77%	23	23%
Inner South	City & Hunslet	91	76	83.52%	15	16.48%
Inner South	Middleton Park	121	100	82.64%	21	17.36%
Outer South	Ardsley & Robin Hood	26	22	84.62%	4	15.38%
Outer South	Morley North	24	20	83.33%	4	16.67%
Outer South	Morley South	47	36	76.60%	11	23.40%
Outer South	Rothwell	29	19	65.52%	10	34.48%

Appendix 4

Q4 – 2009-10

NI 60: The percentage of core assessments that were completed within 35 working days of their commencement

Area Committee	Ward	CA Total	No. of CA completed within 35 working days	% of CA completed within 35 working days	No. of CA not completed within 35 working days	% of CA completed within 35 working days
Inner East	Gipton & Harehills	36	21	58.33%	15	41.67%
Inner East	Killingbeck & Seacroft	29	21	72.41%	8	27.59%
Inner East	Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	26	22	84.62%	4	15.38%
Outer East	Cross Gates & Whinmoor	14	7	50%	7	50%
Outer East	Garforth & Swillington	1	1	100%	0	0%
Outer East	Kippax & Methley	9	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Outer East	Temple Newsam	2	0	0%	2	100%
Inner North East	Moortown	9	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Inner North East	Roundhay	2	2	100%	0	0%
Inner North East	Chapel Allerton	14	9	64.29%	5	35.71%
Outer North East	Alwoodley	9	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Outer North East	Harewood	7	7	100%	0	0%
Outer North East	Wetherby	1	1	100%	0	0%
Inner North West	Hyde Park & Woodhouse	18	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Inner North West	Kirkstall	19	8	42.11%	11	57.89%
Inner North West	Weetwood	11	6	54.55%	5	45.45%
Inner North West	Headingley	2	2	100%	0	0%
Outer North West	Adel & Wharfedale	7	7	100%	0	0%
Outer North West	Guiseley & Rawdon	12	8	66.67%	4	33.33%
Outer North West	Horsforth	5	5	100%	0	0%
Outer North West	Otley & Yeadon	10	6	60%	4	40%
Inner Armley	Armley	42	28	66.67%	14	33.33%
Inner West	Bramley & Stanningley	66	44	66.67%	22	33.33%
Outer West	Calverley & Farsley	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Outer West	Farnley & Wortley	36	23	63.89%	13	36.11%
Outer West	Pudsey	13	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Inner South	Beeston & Holbeck	41	26	63.41%	15	36.59%
Inner South	City & Hunslet	47	13	27.66%	34	72.34%
Inner South	Middleton Park	41	28	68.29%	13	31.71%
Outer South	Ardsley & Robin Hood	6	6	100%	0	0%
Outer South	Morley North	11	3	27.27%	8	72.73%
Outer South	Morley South	6	2	33.33%	4	66.67%
Outer South	Rothwell	20	15	75%	5	25%

Appendix 4

Q1 – 2010-11

NI 60: The percentage of core assessments that were completed within 35 working days of their commencement

Area Committee	Ward	CA Total	No. of CA completed within 35 working days	% of CA completed within 35 working days	No. of CA not completed within 35 working days	% of CA completed within 35 working days
Inner East	Gipton & Harehills	22	18	81.82%	4	18.18%
Inner East	Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	17	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
Inner East	Killingbeck & Seacroft	33	26	78.79%	7	21.21%
Outer East	Cross Gates & Whinmoor	9	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Outer East	Garforth & Swillington	2	2	100%	0	0%
Outer East	Kippax & Methley	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Outer East	Temple Newsam	12	9	75%	3	25%
Inner North East	Moortown	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
Inner North East	Roundhay	1	1	100%	0	0%
Inner North East	Chapel Allerton	15	14	93.33%	1	6.67%
Outer North East	Alwoodley	4	3	75%	1	25%
Outer North East	Harewood	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
Outer North East	Wetherby	1	0	0%	1	100%
Inner North West	Hyde Park & Woodhouse	6	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Inner North West	Kirkstall	15	11	73.33%	4	26.67%
Inner North West	Weetwood	10	8	80%	2	20%
Inner North West	Headingley	1	1	100%	0	0%
Outer North West	Adel & Wharfedale	2	2	100%	0	0%
Outer North West	Guiseley & Rawdon	8	3	37.50%	5	62.50%
Outer North West	Horsforth	10	6	60%	4	40%
Outer North West	Otley & Yeadon	8	8	100%	0	0%
Inner West	Armley	37	31	83.78%	6	16.22%
Inner West	Bramley & Stanningley	43	36	83.72%	7	16.28%
Outer West	Calverley & Farsley	5	5	100%	0	0%
Outer West	Farnley & Wortley	19	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
Outer West	Pudsey	11	11	100%	0	0%
Inner South	Beeston & Holbeck	25	15	60%	10	40%
Inner South	City & Hunslet	25	19	76%	6	24%
Inner South	Middleton Park	44	32	72.73%	12	27.27%
Outer South	Ardsley & Robin Hood	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Outer South	Morley North	8	4	50%	4	50%
Outer South	Morley South	8	8	100%	0	0%
Outer South	Rothwell	4	3	75%	1	25%

Please Note: For certain Wards the numbers of Core Assessments is at zero. This is due to the fact that either a Core Assessment is yet to be carried out as they are within the 35 working days or it was deemed that no further action required.

Appendix 5

The complete list of agencies / sectors who can potentially raise CAFs is: Behaviour and Education Support Team - BEST; Early Years; Education Leeds; Health; IGEN; LCC; Multi Agency Support Team; Third Sector (including voluntary, community, charity); Youth Offending Service; Youth Service; Primary Schools; High Schools; Extended Services; CYP Social Care; Environments and Neighbourhoods; Connexions; Schools – Specialist Inclusive Learning Centres; Schools – Pupil Referral Unit; Prospects; Housing (LCC); Connect Housing and the Private Sector.

CAF Data – Number of Assessments Initiated by Agency – Quarter 1 – 2010-11

Area Committee	Assessors/Agency/Group	Number of Assessments Initiated
Inner East	CONNECT HOUSING	1
	EARLY YEARS	7
	EDUCATION LEEDS	1
	EXTENDED SERVICES	1
	HEALTH	5
	HIGH SCHOOL	8
	PRIMARY SCHOOLS	13
	SCHOOLS (SILC)	1
	THIRD SECTOR	1
	YOUTH OFFENDING SERVICE	1
	YOUTH SERVICE	1
Inner East Total		40
Outer East	CONNEXIONS	1
	EARLY YEARS	5
	EDUCATION LEEDS	1
	EXTENDED SERVICES	1
	HEALTH	2
	HIGH SCHOOL	8
	IGEN	2
	PRIMARY SCHOOLS	11
	YOUTH OFFENDING SERVICE	1
	YOUTH SERVICE	1
Outer East Total		33
Inner North East	EARLY YEARS	14
	EDUCATION LEEDS	1
	EXTENDED SERVICES	3
	HEALTH	3
	HIGH SCHOOL	5
	PRIMARY SCHOOLS	4
Inner North East Total		30
Outer North East	EARLY YEARS	5
	HEALTH	2
	HIGH SCHOOL	2
	PRIMARY SCHOOLS	5
Outer North East Total		14
Inner North West	EARLY YEARS	5
	EDUCATION LEEDS	2
	HIGH SCHOOL	2
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	8
	SCHOOLS (SILC)	1
	THIRD SECTOR	2
Inner North West Total		20

Area Committee	Assessors/Agency/Group	Number of Assessments Initiated
Outer North West	EARLY YEARS	3
	EDUCATION LEEDS	1
	HIGH SCHOOL	11
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	6
Outer North West Total		21
Inner West	BEST (Behaviour & Education Support Team)	4
	EARLY YEARS	2
	EDUCATION LEEDS	4
	EXTENDED SERVICES	1
	HEALTH	1
	HIGH SCHOOL	3
	MULTI AGENCY SUPPORT TEAM	1
	PRIMARY SCHOOLS	7
	THIRD SECTOR	5
	YOUTH SERVICE	1
Inner West Total		29
Outer West	BEST	2
	CONNEXIONS	1
	EARLY YEARS	5
	EDUCATION LEEDS	4
	HEALTH	2
	HIGH SCHOOL	9
	IGEN	1
	PRIMARY SCHOOLS	4
	THIRD SECTOR	3
Outer West Total		31
Inner South	EARLY YEARS	10
	EDUCATION LEEDS	3
	EXTENDED SERVICES	1
	HEALTH	4
	HIGH SCHOOL	7
	HOUSING (LCC)	1
	IGEN	1
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	7
	SCHOOLS (SILC)	3
	THIRD SECTOR	4
Inner South Total		41
Outer South	EARLY YEARS	12
	EXTENDED SERVICES	1
	HEALTH	1
	HIGH SCHOOL	3
	PRIMARY SCHOOLS	6
Outer South Total		23
Grand Total		218

Appendix 5

CAF Data – Number of Assessments Completed by Agency – Quarter 1 – 2010-11

Area Management Wedge	Assessors/Agency/Group	Number of Assessments Completed
Inner East	CYP SOCIAL CARE	1
	EARLY YEARS	1
	EDUCATION LEEDS	1
	EXTENDED SERVICES	2
	HEALTH	7
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	3
	THIRD SECTOR	1
Inner East Total		16
Outer East	EXTENDED SERVICES	2
	HEALTH	3
	HIGH SCHOOL	3
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	1
Outer East Total		9
Inner North East	EARLY YEARS	4
	HEALTH	3
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	1
	THIRD SECTOR	1
Inner North East Total		9
Outer North East	EARLY YEARS	2
	EXTENDED SERVICES	1
	HEALTH	1
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	1
Outer North East Total		5
Inner North West	EARLY YEARS	1
	THIRD SECTOR	2
Inner North West Total		3
Inner West	EARLY YEARS	2
	EDUCATION LEEDS	3
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	1
	SCHOOL (SILC)	1
	THIRD SECTOR	2
Inner West Total		9
Outer West	THIRD SECTOR	2
Outer West Total		2
Inner South	EARLY YEARS	2
	EDUCATION LEEDS	2
	HEALTH	2
	HIGH SCHOOL	1
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	1
	THIRD SECTOR	1
Inner South Total		9
Inner South	EARLY YEARS	2
	EDUCATION LEEDS	2
	HEALTH	2
	HIGH SCHOOL	1
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	1
	THIRD SECTOR	1
Inner South Total		9

Area Committee	Assessors/Agency/Group	Number of Assessments Completed
Outer South	EARLY YEARS	2
	HEALTH	1
	IGEN	1
	PRIMARY SCHOOL	1
	THIRD SECTOR	1
	YOUTH SERVICE	1
Outer South Total		7
Grand Total		56

Appendix 6

NEET And Not Known Data Disaggregated By Ward – Standalone data for the month of June 2010

It should be noted that these figures will not include young people who are in education or training in Leeds and not resident in Leeds, those young people are included in the headline figures for the authority. If a young person's address is unknown it is recorded as the Connexions Centre. This means the large number of young people in the city centre does not reflect the number of young people who actually live in the city centre. Errors in the recording of postcode on the Connexions database mean there are a number of young people who can not be matched to a ward or a super output area. For this reason these figures should be viewed as indicative. City wide figures for June 2010 are:- Adjusted NEET: 8.8 % (1638 young people); Not Known: 5.0% (965 young people)

Area Committee	Ward	NEET		Not Known		Total No. of YP
		Count	%	Count	%	
Inner East	Gipton & Harehills	103	11.74%	70	7.98%	877
Inner East	Killingbeck & Seacroft	90	11.46%	57	7.26%	785
Inner East	Burmantofts & Richmond	91	14.22%	61	9.53%	640
Outer East	Crossgates & Whinmoor	41	7.03%	21	3.60%	583
Outer East	Garforth & Swillington	22	4.26%	9	1.74%	517
Outer East	Kippax & Methley	28	6.02%	15	3.23%	465
Outer East	Temple Newsam	51	7.85%	31	4.77%	650
East Total		426	9.43%	264	5.84%	4517
Inner North East	Moortown	26	4.96%	5	0.95%	524
Inner North East	Roundhay	25	4.35%	20	3.48%	575
Inner North East	Chapel Allerton	75	11.59%	46	7.11%	647
Outer North East	Alwoodley	20	4.42%	10	2.21%	452
Outer North East	Harewood	7	2.46%	4	1.40%	285
Outer North East	Wetherby	3	1.13%	9	3.38%	266
North East Total		156	5.67%	94	3.42%	2749
Inner North West	Headingley	10	10.75%	4	4.30%	93
Inner North West	Hyde Park & Woodhouse	41	13.95%	17	5.78%	294
Inner North West	Kirkstall	43	9.39%	23	5.02%	458
Inner North West	Weetwood	28	6.24%	6	1.34%	449
Outer North West	Adel & Wharfedale	15	3.64%	9	2.18%	412
Outer North West	Guisley & Rawdon	19	3.82%	11	2.21%	498
Outer North West	Horsforth	17	3.42%	14	2.82%	497
Outer North West	Otley & Yeadon	34	6.13%	16	2.88%	555
North West Total		207	6.36%	100	3.07%	3256
Inner West	Armley	104	15.05%	27	3.91%	691
Inner West	Bramley & Stanningley	78	12.50%	34	5.45%	624
Outer West	Calverley & Farsley	15	3.18%	14	2.97%	471
Outer West	Farnley & Wortley	61	8.76%	47	6.75%	696
Outer West	Pudsey	35	6.25%	25	4.46%	560
West Total		293	9.63%	147	4.83%	3042
Inner South	Beeston & Holbeck	79	12.78%	38	6.15%	618
Inner South	City & Hunslet	91	11.36%	166	20.72%	801
Inner South	Middleton Park	104	13.38%	54	6.95%	777
Outer South	Ardsley & Robin Hood	35	6.68%	14	2.67%	524
Outer South	Morley North	25	5.06%	19	3.85%	494
Outer South	Morley South	28	5.76%	19	3.91%	486
Outer South	Rothwell	35	7.26%	19	3.94%	482
South Total		397	9.49%	329	7.87%	4182

Comparison With Other Local Authorities For June 2010

The figures for Leeds Statistical Neighbours are detailed in the table below. Leeds is in line with statistical neighbours for the percentage of young people NEET. The percentage of young people Not Known in Leeds has reduced but requires further improvement, 1.6 percentage points above the mean indicator for statistical neighbours.

Statistical Neighbours	16-18 NEET %	Age 16 NEET%	Age 17 NEET%	Age 18 NEET%	16 - 18 NK %	Age 16 NK%	Age 17 NK%	Age 18 NK%
Leeds	8.8%	7.8%	8.6%	9.2%	5.0%	2.9%	3.1%	7.1%
Mean indicator for statistical neighbours	8.8%	7.1%	8.0%	9.8%	3.4%	1.4%	1.9%	5.3%
Sheffield	9.4%	7.9%	8.0%	11.0%	4.5%	1.9%	2.5%	6.8%
Bolton	10.8%	9.7%	9.9%	11.7%	5.1%	2.9%	3.8%	6.5%
Stockton-on-Tees	10.6%	7.1%	9.0%	12.7%	1.0%	0.7%	0.3%	1.7%
Darlington	8.4%	7.5%	8.3%	8.7%	1.4%	0.3%	0.7%	2.5%
Calderdale	7.9%	5.2%	6.9%	9.4%	3.0%	0.2%	1.2%	5.1%
St. Helens	7.6%	5.6%	7.1%	8.5%	2.0%	Not Available	0.9%	3.3%
Derby	8.3%	7.0%	7.7%	9.1%	6.5%	3.1%	3.3%	10.3%
Kirklees	8.6%	8.0%	7.8%	9.5%	3.8%	1.5%	1.9%	6.2%
North Tyneside	9.2%	7.5%	9.1%	9.6%	3.5%	0.6%	1.3%	5.9%
Milton Keynes	7.3%	5.3%	6.7%	8.2%	3.4%	1.0%	2.6%	4.5%